

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—May I know why he is not included in the list?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I explained before. He was not entertained here before 55. He has been entertained here after 55.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—He ought to have been given 10 extensions.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—10 or 12 must be seen from the proceedings.

Diwan Bahadur Dr. V. Verghese.—It is under a special covenant or contract.

Pariyaram—Chalakkudi Road Work.

110. Mr. C. J. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to state;—

(a) whether the Pariyaram-Chalakkudi road work is commenced and if not, why not;

(b) whether the Land Revenue Department has completed the land-acquisition proceedings in this connection and if not why not;

(c) when did the Land Revenue Department receive orders from the Diwan to acquire the necessary land for this road and what is the extent (area) of the land to be acquired;

(d) since the order to acquire the said land was sent to the Land Revenue Department, was any subsequent order sent to them, asking them to stay the acquisition proceedings or to delay the same;

(e) what is the length of time now, since the land acquisition proceedings have been set on foot in this connection;

(f) whether the Hon'ble Member for Revenue has enquired into the cause of the delay, if even by this time the land in question is not completely acquired and handed over to the P. W. D. to commence work?

Mr. T. M. Krishna Menon.—(a) The work has been let on contract and the contractor is collecting materials.

(b) Yes. The award has been passed.

(c) On 21st January 1930. One acre and 94 cents.

(d) No.

(e) 10 months.

(f) In view of the answer to (b), the question does not arise.

Mr. C. J. Mathew.—If the land acquisition is complete may I know whether the Chief Engineer has got possession of the land? It is stated here that the proceedings are complete and the award has been passed.

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—We have not got complete possession of the land. We hope to get it in a few days.

Work in the Huzur Office.

111. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state to what extent has the volume of the work in the Huzur increased since the Assistant Secretaryship was abolished in the middle of 1083 by Sir A. R. Banerji;

(2) when did the increase in work begin to manifest itself so as to necessitate the restoration of the Assistant Secretaryship;

(3) was the necessity for an Assistant Secretaryship felt during the Diwanship of Messrs. Bhore, Vijayaraghavacharya and Narayana Menon and also Narayana Ayyar till the third month of the 6th year of his period?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(1) In 1083 the total number of currents, petitions and periodicals registered was 19,669. But in the next year after decentralisation, the total came down to 13,776 as against which in 1105 the number was 17,264. The Member is also referred to the successive Administration Reports of the State which would give a general idea of the increase in work.

(2) As in all such cases it is impossible to give the exact date in which the necessity was first felt. But for some years the question has been before the Government.

(3) Yes.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—May I know why no appointment of the Assistant Secretary was made even when a necessity seems to have felt from the times of Messrs. Bhore, Vijayaraghavachariar and Narayana Menon and also Narayana Ayyar, till the 3rd month of the 6th year of his period?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—For the first few years the matter was put off. That is one reason. It was put off during the last few years also since that was one of the items which was considered by the Special Finance Committee.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Who was appointed in this place?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Mr. T. S. Sesha Ayyar is the Assistant Secretary at present.

Superintendents—Huzur Office.

112. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(1) how many Superintendents were there in the Huzur during the Diwanship of Messrs. A. R. Banerji, Bhore, Vijaya-